

Message

From: MacDonald, Alex@Waterboards [Alex.MacDonald@waterboards.ca.gov]
Sent: 5/10/2018 2:47:31 PM
To: Keller, Lynn [Keller.Lynn@epa.gov]
Subject: FW: Folsom city land, DTSC Remedial Action Plan, Area 40, Aeroject Superfund Site
Attachments: SWRCB letter May 11, 2017.jpg

Lynn – You can ignore the attached letter as it is a blank document

Alex

From: LJ Laurent [mailto:Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)]
Sent: Tuesday, May 01, 2018 8:43 AM
To: MacNicholl, Peter@DTSC <Peter.MacNicholl@dtsc.ca.gov>; MacDonald, Alex@Waterboards <Alex.MacDonald@waterboards.ca.gov>
Cc: Alan Wade [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)]; Warren Truitt [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)]; Lockhart, Don <don.lockhart@saclafco.org>; Laputz, Adam@Waterboards <Adam.Laputz@waterboards.ca.gov>; Lee, Barbara@DTSC <Barbara.Lee@dtsc.ca.gov>
Subject: Folsom city land, DTSC Remedial Action Plan, Area 40, Aeroject Superfund Site

To: DTSC
cc: Alex MacDonald, Adam Laputz
From: LJ Laurent
May 1, 2018

Re: Draft **Remedial Plan Area 40 Aerojet Superfund Site**, Need for city oversight.

Comment period May 1 - May 31, 2018

DTSC Fact Sheet does not contain any overlay for this Superfund Site which shows the parts now within the city of Folsom CA. 2011 LAFCO presentation by Folsom's Ken Payne does not indicate the city's commitment to respecting your Limitations on land usages in these contaminated areas.

Why has DTSC not provided firm information about controlling access, land usages, contamination protections for people and water and land -- now within city of Folsom? They will not voluntarily limit development -- as we have seen with Folsom purchasing a Superfund contaminated site for a Folsom Corporation Yard in this area. Not only did city buy Superfund land with our funds, they now propose to buy more Aeroject land for a corp yard -- south of White Rock Rd and NOT inside the annexed city area of nearly 4,000 acres.

What is the impact upon Buffalo Creek land & water, and how will DTSC actually regulate the city of Folsom's development greed and failure to utilize Licensed Engineering Reports for development?

Why do you accept the city's plan for development in the area described only verbally in your Fact Sheet? Were you not invited to Comment on LAFCO petition and 2011 Folsom submission to LAFCO?

Why is your Fact Sheet Map so localized that it is necessary to find federal maps and topos for this area?

What evidence do you have a city of Folsom Engineer is certified to understand and make Development Engineering Reports on land with TCE and Dioxins in water and soil?

Who is DTSC certified Engineer contact within city of Folsom where this Area 40 contamination is a serious threat?

Has DTSC made any attempts to be NOTIFIED when city of Folsom purchases contaminated land from Aerojet for public uses? Has DTSC notified Governor's Clearinghouse it is a Mandatory Recipient of Folsom development and land use proposals -- and General Plan Proposals. If you view the latest Folsom GP Update, you might be shocked. There is NO mention of 2018 environmental justice state law for GPUs. It is a GPU directed at "economic development".

Has DTSC contacted city of Folsom to ensure it is not planning to extract contaminated groundwater in this area or in entire Folsom Plan Area?

Which Folsom resident city engineer is your contact, and will this Engineer be present at your Public Meeting (presumably a Public Hearing) Thursday May 17, 2018, 6-8pm Folsom Community Center?

What steps have been taken to protect recreational visitors to this site -- directed there by city of Folsom tourism ads about "trails" to visit S50?

Is it not DTSC duty to be proactive with entities who are not voluntarily compliant?

Does DTSC have a duty to POST signage warning people they are being directed to a Superfund Site for recreation? Hope it is not up to Folsom.

FYI: City of Folsom south of 50 CANNOT proceed without a valid, legal, sustainable "Non-American River Water Supply." See attached SWRCB Letter dated May 11, 2017. It is important for all CA state regulatory agencies to be aware of any unauthorized attempts by city to profit-maximize land w/o legal water supply.